

Dear Scientists, dear Prof. Sauer

Neumünster, 1th July 2023

thank you very much for this forum/virtual event.

I nearly fully agree with the statements of Prof. Tom Sauer, though they would be called „Putin-Narrativ“ in Germany.

Nevertheless I'd like to repeat my questions:

Why we do not use for peace the language of the UN-Resolutions?

Because they are weak?

They are the strongest arguments for peace we have!

In calling for peace to rely upon the UN-Charter is not helpful nowadays.

both combatants, russia + ukraine recall on Article 51.

Even if Prof. Sauer agrees with russian defense against NATO, article 51 do fit for Russia as well. especially when you keep in mind, Selensky decret of 24.03.2021 to recapture Krim in 2022.

More than 140 UN-Members agreed with the resolutions on the conflict Aggression against Ukraine.

They urged in the 1.UN-resolution „on immediate peaceful resolution of the conflict between the Russian Federation and Ukraine

through political dialogue, negotiations, mediation and other peaceful means“

And they even don't legalize military deliveries and sanctions!

There is a big difference in condemning an „illegal russian war“

or „condemning a declaration of a special military operation“

And the increase of readiness of nuclear forces.

There is also a big difference in „deploring or condemming“ - for instance an aggression.

I am quite sure, the UN-Assembly knows these differences very well.

The GA wouldn't have got these 140 votes for the resolution, if these differences would have been ignored.

so why not use them – for peace!

Furthermore the UN-Resolution proofs, there had been a declaration by the russian federation

1. of the special military operation and

2. „the status of certain areas“ as Luhansk + Donetsk – accordingly to the regulations of the UN-Charta.

Up to now, I could not get any reliable information about that.

Isn't that strange? Shouldn't there be a letter, a date, a name, a number of confirmation, under which you can find it.

Even it is so absurd, that you need not have to discuss it - shouldn't there be a note or protocoll of that?

And why isn't the fact in public memory?

Anyway – in calling for peace it is essential to chose words carefully.

Therefore I think, it would be wise to avoid „NATO-Narrativ“ and chose „UN-Narrativ“

Even if Putin doesn't agree completly, „illegal russian war“ is surely unacceptable for him

So why using it? To please NATO?

Furthermore „illegal russian war“ is wrong.

Would there be a war, if Ukraine had decided on civil defense – like denmark in WWII?

That's why i asked: when does war start?

When somebody invades or when the invaded country answer with military forces, weapons, shooting?

All UN-Members have signed the UN-Charter article 2 abs.3:

„All Members shall settle their international disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security, and justice, are not endangered.“

So what?

Is it only the russian federation which is making (illegal) war?

And not each other country, which is involved?

Please get me right, I condemn war, any war – and no „illegal russian war“ alone.

eisenhowermedianetwork published on 17.05.2023 in NY-Times a „call for peace“ at President Biden signed by 14 Military experts

They called the war „Russia-Ukraine-War“ or „war in the Ukraine“.

„The immediate cause of this disastrous war in Ukraine is Russia’s invasion“

<https://eisenhowermedianetwork.org/russia-ukraine-war-peace/>

But no one of them is condemning any „illegal russian war“

So what?

Why we don’t use the language of UNO or of the peacemovement in USA?

Up to your peace –narrative. Do you know of the NATO-Defense-Council to be established on july, 11/12th in Vilnius

<https://www.defense.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/3431305/nato-ukraine-defense-council-to-be-established/>

Does this fit with a neutral Ukraine or more with a NATO-Membership of Ukraine?

Kind regards,

Magret Bonin

<http://womenagainstnato.org/>

1. Petentin zum Ukraine-Konflikt:

"Wir sprechen die Sprache der UNO"

<https://www.change.org/Sprache-der-UNO>

1. Petentin zu Panzerlieferungen:

„Wenn der Wind des Wandels weht, ...senden wir Friedenstauben“

„Friedenstauben statt Panzer“

<https://www.change.org/friedenstauben>

Königsberger Str. 51

24537 Neumünster

Germany

fon +49 4321-8516134

mobil +49 170-4810443